Pedestrian crowd models

T. GIRARD

Institut Denis Poisson, Université de Tours

November 15, 2023

I want to model the university restaurant of Tours in the context of evacuation...



■ のへの

 \dots or its simplified version !



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで



2 The direction of pedestrians in one dimension

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

3 The two-dimensional problem

We want to model a moving crowd. The crowd is represented as a pedestrian density ρ between 0 and 1.



The agents flux is represented by the flux function f.



$$\int_{a}^{b} \rho(t,x) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{a}^{b} \rho(0,x) \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{0}^{t} f(s,a) \, \mathrm{d}s - \int_{0}^{t} f(s,b) \, \mathrm{d}s$$
$$\int_{a}^{b} \int_{0}^{t} \partial_{t} \rho(s,x) \, \mathrm{d}s \, \mathrm{d}x = -\int_{0}^{t} \int_{a}^{b} \partial_{x} f(s,x) \, \mathrm{d}x \, \mathrm{d}s$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

The flux is equal to the density multiply by the speed of agents.

$$f(s,x) := \rho(s,x)v(s,x)$$

The velocity v is itself governed by the local density:

$$v(s,x) := v_{\max}(1-\rho)$$

We set $v_{max} = 1$ and recover:

$$f(s,x) := f(\rho(s,x)) := \rho(s,x)(1-\rho(s,x))$$

• M. J. Lighthill and G. B. Whitham, On kinematic waves. ii. a theory of traffic flow on long crowded roads, (1955).

We end up with:

$$\int_{a}^{b}\int_{0}^{t}\partial_{t}\rho(s,x)+\partial_{x}f(\rho(s,x))\,\mathrm{d}x\,\mathrm{d}s=0$$

Short version, a scalar conservation law:

$$\rho_t + f(\rho)_x = 0$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

where $f(\rho) = \rho(1 - \rho)$. What's known on this equation ?

Pedestrian crowd models

 \vdash Transport of pedestrian : the LWR model

• Non-existence of continuous solutions

We use a method of characteristics to propagate the initial datum:



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

• Non-existence of continuous solutions

We use a method of characteristics to propagate the initial datum:



So we consider weak solutions :

$$\forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}^{\infty}_{c}, \quad \iint_{(0,T) \times \mathbb{R}} \rho \phi_{t} + f(\rho) \phi_{x} \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x = 0$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ 亘 のへで

• Non-uniqueness of weak solutions Consider

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + \left[\rho^2/2\right]_x = 0\\ \rho(0, x) = \mathbb{1}_{(0, +\infty)} \end{cases}$$
(1)

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Then the two density functions ρ described below are weak solutions:



Krushkov : entropy conditions We say that $\rho \in L^{\infty}$ is an entropy solution to

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + f(\rho)_x = 0\\ \rho(0, \cdot) = \rho_0(\cdot) \in L^{\infty} \end{cases}$$

if

$$\begin{split} |\rho - k|_t + (\operatorname{sign}(\rho - k) \left(f(\rho) - f(k) \right))_x &\leq 0 \text{ in the distributional sense.} \\ \text{So } \forall k \in \mathbb{R}, \; \forall \phi \in \mathcal{C}^\infty_c \end{split}$$

$$\iint_{(0,T)\times\mathbb{R}} |\rho - k|\phi_t + \operatorname{sign}(\rho - k) \left(f(\rho) - f(k)\right) \phi_x \, \mathrm{d}t \, \mathrm{d}x + \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\rho_0 - k| \phi(0,x) \, \mathrm{d}x \ge 0$$

▲□▶▲圖▶▲圖▶▲圖▶ ▲ 圖 - のへの

Interpretation of Kruskov entropy condition in the context of traffic: The admissible shocks correspond to the traffic jams.





◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 - のへで

— The direction of pedestrians in one dimension

How to model the psychology of crowds ?



◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへで

A corridor with two doors located at $x = \pm 1$.

— The direction of pedestrians in one dimension

The simplest example : an empty corridor.

We consider a pedestrian located at $x \in [-1, 1]$ at time t = 0. This pedestrian computes the time required to reach each of the exits $T^{-1}(x)$ and $T^{1}(x)$. Naturally, the pedestrian will choose the lowest exit time.

If we repeat this process for any x, we can define u(x) the time to exit the corridor if one start at location x:

$$u(x) = \min\{T^{-1}(x), T^{1}(x)\}.$$

If the max speed is 1, we have $T^{-1}(x) = |x+1|$ and $T^{1}(x) = |x-1|$.



— The direction of pedestrians in one dimension

We consider a cost function c depending of the local density. We suppose each agent seeks to minimize not its exit time but its total cost towards the choosen exit. We have again

$$u(x) = \min\{T^{-1}(x), T^{1}(x)\}.$$

But this time, at speed 1 the total cost towards the exit x = 1 is

$$T^1(x) = \int_x^1 c(\rho(0,y)) \,\mathrm{d} y$$

Problem (for later) : the supposed speed of pedestrians is still constant but the speed should vary with the density...

—The direction of pedestrians in one dimension



We want to solve:

$$\begin{cases} \rho_t + [\operatorname{sign}(x - \xi(t))\rho v(\rho)]_x = 0\\ \int_{-1}^{\xi(t)} c(\rho(t, x)) \, \mathrm{d}x = \int_{\xi(t)}^{1} c(\rho(t, x)) \, \mathrm{d}x. \end{cases}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

The curve ξ is called the turning curve.

^LThe direction of pedestrians in one dimension

In the one-dimensional case, a fixed point argument proves the existence of (ρ, ξ) a solution. (But *c* has to be affine...)



We want to study the crowd evacuation of an initial density ρ_0 in the room when, at t = 0, the agents want to exit the room minimizing their exit time.



Suppose V(t, x) is a vector field corresponding to the choice of direction of an agent located in x at time t. Then the transport equation follows from LWR:

$$\rho_t + \operatorname{div}_{x}(V(t, x)\rho v(\rho)) = 0$$

How do we compute V ?

For a fixed and constant density ρ , we use an optimal control problem.

Fix a density ρ in a given domain Ω . Let $\vec{p}(\cdot) \in C^1([0, +\infty), S^1)$. We call \vec{p} a "control". Consider the trajectory y_x solution of the Cauchy problem:

$$\begin{cases} \dot{y}_{x}(t) = v(\rho(y_{x}(t)))\vec{p}(t) \\ y_{x}(0) = x. \end{cases}$$

We call all these trajectories the "controlled trajectories" and denote by Y the set of all controlled trajectories.



▲日▶ ▲□▶ ▲ヨ▶ ▲ヨ▶ ヨー つくで

Now we want to look at the exit time of a pedestrian located at x. If the pedestrian follows the trajectory y_x we compute the total exit time :

$$\int_0^\infty \mathbb{1}_\Omega(y_x(t))\,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Following the 1D case, we can also add a cost function c.

٠

$$J(x,y_x(\cdot)) := \int_0^\infty c(
ho(y_x(t))) \mathbb{1}_\Omega(y_x(t)) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

If we consider that pedestrian always chose the best option to leave the domain, we end up with the following optimisation problem for the total cost:

$$u(x) = \inf_{y_x \in Y} J(x, y_x(\cdot)).$$

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

Suppose that the infinum is a minimum reached for an optimal control $y_x^*(\cdot)$.

The pedestrian at x should follow the direction field $V(x) = \dot{y}_x^*(0)$.

Can we compute $\dot{y}_{x}^{\star}(0)$ for any x ? The dynamic programming principle :

$$\forall h > 0, u(x) = \inf_{y_x \in Y} \left\{ \int_0^h c(\rho(y_x(t))) \mathbb{1}_\Omega(y_x(t)) \, \mathrm{d}t + u(y_x(h)) \right\}$$



▲□ > ▲□ > ▲目 > ▲目 > ▲目 > ● ●

Notice that, given a $y_x(\cdot)$ and if we suppose that u is differentiable, we have:

$$u(y_x(h)) = u(x) + \int_0^h \nabla u_{y_x(t)} \cdot \dot{y}_x(t) \,\mathrm{d}t.$$

Using both equalities, we get:

$$\inf_{y_x\in Y}\left\{\int_0^h c(\rho(y_x(t)))+\nabla u_{y_x(t)}\cdot \dot{y}_x(t)\,\mathrm{d}t\right\}=0.$$

Recall that c > 0, if y_x^* exists, then heuristically we should have

$$\dot{y}_{x}^{\star}(0)=-\lambda\nabla u(x).$$

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

└─ The two-dimensional problem

Furthermore,

$$\int_0^h c(\rho(y_x^*(t))) + \nabla u_{y_x^*(t)} \cdot \dot{y}_x^*(t) \,\mathrm{d}t = 0.$$

This should be true for any h and, we get for all t,

$$c(\rho(y_x^{\star}(t))) + \nabla u_{y_x^{\star}(t)} \cdot \dot{y}_x^{\star}(t) = 0.$$

In particular, when t = 0, if $\dot{y}_{x}^{\star}(0) = -\lambda \nabla u(x)$, we get:

$$||\nabla u(x)|| = \frac{c(\rho(x))}{||\dot{y}_{x}^{*}(0)||} = \frac{c(\rho(x))}{v(\rho(x))}.$$

The Hamilton-Jacobi-Bellman approach:

Solving the optimisation problem $u(x) = \inf_{y_x \in Y} J(x, y_x(\cdot))$ \Leftrightarrow solving the eikonal equation $||\nabla u|| = \frac{c(\rho)}{v(\rho)}$.

This is more of less the approach of the principle of least action in physics.

A D > 4 回 > 4 回 > 4 回 > 1 回 9 Q Q

For a rigorous proof, Guy and Manu Chasseigne wrote a book where you can find it (and much more) !

└─ The two-dimensional problem

Return to one-dimensional case : the solutions of Hamilton-Jacobi equations. If we try to solve the simple case

$$\begin{cases} |\partial_x u| = 1\\ u(x = \pm 1) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Can we say that $|\partial_{x}u|=1$ almost everywhere ?



We would lose the uniqueness... Solution : the notion of viscosity solution.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● ○ ○ ○

└─ The two-dimensional problem

We can approach the eikonal equation's solution via a fast marching numerical scheme.



The two-dimensional problem

The simulation for the university restaurant of Tours:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Pedestrian crowd models

└─ The two-dimensional problem

The simulation for the university restaurant of Tours:



(ロ > 4個 > 4回 > 4回 > 三回 - のへで、

Pedestrian crowd models

└─ The two-dimensional problem

The simulation for the university restaurant of Tours:



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● のへで

To summarize, we should find the solutions of:



2D: directions
$$\vec{V}$$

Gokieli & al.'19

$$\begin{cases}
\rho_t + \operatorname{div}_x \left(\frac{-\nabla\phi}{|\nabla\phi|} \rho v(\rho) \right) = 0 \\
|\nabla_x \phi| = \frac{c(\rho)}{v(\rho)} \\
\phi(x \in E) = 0 \\
(\nabla_x \phi \cdot n_D)^+ = 0 \text{ if } x \in \partial D \setminus E \\
\rho(0, x) = \rho(x)
\end{cases}$$
(2)

where n_D is the normal unit vector to the boundary of the domain D and c is a given cost function depending on the local density.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

work in progress ...

L The two-dimensional problem

Thank you.

